

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Newtown High School (AA

Monticello DC

Akshay Agashe

~~25~~ 25

Daniel Cook

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from NEWTON AA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: MTAFU

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

AFF GETS ENTIRELY TOO BOGGED DOWN IN THE LINE-BY-LINE,
LOSING SIGHT OF THE SIMPLE REASON(S) TO AFFIRM.
NEVERTHELESS, I WOULD HAVE TO INTERVENE PRETTY
FLAGRANTLY TO NEGATE. JUST SO YOU KNOW, I WAS
INCREDIBLY TEMPTED TO DO SO IN THIS ROUND.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Law Magnet JR

Blake PO

JAQUELINE RIOS

26

Phelan O'Neill

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Blake PO
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Neg wins that individuals can't be used as means
(Applebaum, Nozick) and the argument that a social contract
would lead to destructive rights issues (#2 on AC framework #1,
Santos)

Room: LS116
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

O'Connell, Micha

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Scarsdale DD

Daniel Daks

28

Southlake Carroll RB

Ryan Bennett

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Southlake Carroll RB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: N214
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Pinto, Daryl

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

West RB

Ryan Beck

27.5

Mountain View DK

Daniel Khalessi

~~27.5~~ 29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Mountain View DK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: D. A. B.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Only case responded to functionally
in JAR.

Room: N229
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

McGinnis, David

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Harker SR

Sachin Rangarajan

24

Apple Valley KG

Kevin Granlund

24

The better debating was done by the Aff from Granlund
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neither side extends any of the elements
of T (interp, violation, voter). They
get caught up debating internal links.

Aff can only win on RVI but there is
mishandled so badly in all 3 rebuttals that
there is a ballot there.

I negate on presumption

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian MD

Torrey Pines NK

Molly Dunn

29

Naveen Krishnamurthi

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff. from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I had basic problem understanding neg. case
since there was no criteria to uphold.

Aff. provided many cards and evidences that
were often mis-interpreted by Neg.

Neg. claim of turns were not convincing, it
almost appear to hurt neg. arguments.

Both had clarity & excellent speaking skills.

Agashe

Room: FA110
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Yim, Anthony

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Winston Churchill CD

Claire Daviss

29

Marquette University SH

Samuel Hope

25.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Winston Churchill CD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: A J

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD -

The Aff perms the K

The Aff extends the permissibility argument at
the top of the case which is enough off
to vote Aff

Room: FA120
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

BEXLEY, JUSTIN

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Crowley DL

Los Altos JW

Daniel Lumpee

29

Jing Wang

28

JB

The better debating was done by the AFF from Crowley
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: ~~my~~ ~~is~~ ~~that~~ ~~is~~ ~~aff~~ ~~mitigates~~ ~~my~~
~~stand~~ ~~to~~ ~~effect~~ ~~that~~ I ~~then~~ look
to the clearly extended off burden to evaluate
moral permissibility. Aff precludes NC and
wins lesser harms by affirming

Room: FA108
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

spirtos, mike

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Brophy College Prep JL

Mayde Creek SZ

Jeff Lockhart

26.5

Sara Zaman

26

The better debating was done by the Aff from Brophy JC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Mulder

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Alief Kerr JT

West GT

Joshua Tang

27.5

Graham Tierney

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from ALIEF KERR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on the line - I vote on the neg case that neg violates more side constraints. I don't look to the aff case because AFF SAYS SAVE/prolong are functionally the same and WARRANTS it via common use. Neg responds common use bad, yet has no counter-interp showing the difference. Moreover, there is a risk of a turn by the AFF there as well.

Room: LS154
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Legrand, Roger

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Kingwood NG 126

Neil Grigg

25

Los Altos DM

Daniel Moerner

113

29.5

The better debating was done by the N26 from Los Altos DM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Roger L. Legrand

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

DID THE IAR REALLY READ THEORY ARGUMENTS
ABOUT HOW BAD THEORY IS?

I VOTE NEG B/C

- ① MEETS AFF BURDEN THROUGH INC
- ② THEORY ARGUMENTS (T → AFFIRM GENERALLY)
- ③ \$ OFFENSE IN IAC EXTENSION STORY.

Room: N203
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Beck, Jesse

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill EC

Ed Culham

28

Westlake AW

Andrew Waks

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westlake AW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Negate b/c NC sets up that all he has to do is
refute AE and make reasons as to why conseq.
is bad. He argues that there is no weighing
much for soph. conseq. so we determine
the path the agent will choose

Room: LS156
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Kearl, Ben

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cascia Hall RK

Carnegie Vanguard RV

Rahul Kohli

27.5

Rachel Vogel

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Carnegie Vanguard RV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR - You have alot of the loads in the AC to answer the NC and to a large extent you on the right track - ie asking what we have to prove things true; extension of definition of moral permissibility; and ~~that~~ C.

2FD - I negate. ^{burden} on the ~~standard~~ ~~and~~ is pretty clearly extended meaning that it becomes difficult to extend the affirmative and oblige. AC of mod burden thus the action of the res is no true in itself and the truth is good the negative ballot follows.

Room: LS120
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Abell, Nathan

HEART OF TEXAS
St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Homeschool KV

Colleyville Heritage MT

Kelsey Valadez

25

Mubeen Tejani

24

The better debating was done by the Aff from Homeschool KV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I affirm the fun of the NC, since EVERYTHING
else is a wash.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Bronx Science BE

Bobby Esnard

30

Stony Point TH

Tyler Haulotte

26.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Bronx Science BE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Interesting case
- good IAR strategy + implementation.

work on starting more clearly. Reading from the laptop was awkward
- work on time management, you obviously underestimated the AC, Pans. way too much time on definition of morality.
- very disorganized NR

The definition of morality are the same.

Aff clearly extends content to II on implied consent which impacts both Aff burden + Neg V/C. Aff was winning the run as the NC but that was not key to the RFD.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Winston Churchill LB

Lynn Bimler

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Blake SM

Susie Marshall

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Churchill LB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both: Very poor job of extending entire arguments to garner offense
NEG- you extend no warrants from Senter ~~passage~~, you ^{essentially} repeat
the implication ^{+ claim} without any explanation of how we get
from the affirming the resolution to genocide

AFF - you don't invest enough time in arguments that can win you
the round. You can't afford to play that much
defense in the 1AR + 2AR

RFD: The Neg does not come close to meeting my threshold for a
complete extension - therefore, your impact scenario is irrelevant.
The AFF at least has a piece of offense that is clearly
extended.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage BB

Bekah Boyer

26.5

Stony Point MY

Mirusha Yogarajah

25

The better debating was done by the AFF from Colleyville Heritage BB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Jennifer Miller

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

With as much time as the Neg has after reading the K, the NC should do a much better job varying responses on the AC instead of just restating the original argument. The IAR does a decent job dealing w/ the K, but needs to spend more time extending the AC offense. This round becomes difficult to adjudicate b/c the AFF extensions in the IAR and 2AR are so vague. I think the AFF is correct in asserting the lack of link that the K has to the AC. The Neg reasserts that there is a link, but never answers the AFF's analysis. The AFF provides a moral rule which is not dependent on an emergency situation. Neg's only offense is on the K so I feel more comfortable voting on vague AFF offense when Neg's has been mitigated.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles KG

Seven Lakes Sd

Kiwi Gupta

23

Steve delperdang

25

The better debating was done by the Neg from Seven Lakes SD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Case has tons of conflicting philosophies and baseless claims.

Way too fast for having 130 left, speed is fine if you use your time.

Cratty argument is pointless, so is affirm to be innocent b/c you never proved how individual is responsible for others death in more than being alive. By that, innocent people exist.

You need to impact more.

Embracing death is not killing.

Unnn... get to Neg with more than 15 sec lefts and refute, don't just extend, you extend thru it!

You made a lot of unnecessary verbiage to get to fear of death. So much that the point is muddled by the sheer volume of love good before you even get to why fear of death occurs.

Use the Aff to turn, I got turns, but say it. (clear it clear)
Not clear at beginning. Why read definitions if they're not clear enough to hear/write. Don't do it just to go through motions. If you define, make it for a point.

Human worth is standard

Neg gets HW because that one is denied worth when sacrificed.

↑ fear = ↓ happiness & human worth

↑ crime = ↓ human worth

Life ≠ happy or good

Room: FA109
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Vohra, Taarini

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College RH

Roddy Hernandez

28

Pace Academy AZ

Alex Zhang

28

The better debating was done by the AM from Strake Jesuit College RH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Tami R. H.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: LS130
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Schifberg, Jerem

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Albuquerque Academy IE

Shawnee HS

Ian Erickson-Kery

28.5

Heather Swadley

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Alb. Acad. IE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

(GRAC)

close round, good job

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hockaday KF

Jesuit WS

Kathleen French

27.5

William Simoneaux

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Hockaday KF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Gary Johnson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg must win deontology is a good
framework or I "default AFF".
Neg is losing 1st indic. + 3rd.

•• Neg isn't proving rule that constrains
AFF.

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

POINTS

West Des Moines Valle AK

27.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from WDM Valley AK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed:

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

[illegible]

Room: FA107
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Werner, Andy

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Kingwood GA

New Trier Township XX

Geoff Alexander

27

Andrew Chesley

27

The better debating was done by the Wily from J
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Paul Werner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oral

Room: FA111
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Flores, Richard

Perry Beal

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Law Magnet LM

Bronx Science AS

Lorena Nanez

27

Adam Silver

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Bronx Science AS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1. Neg proved Kritik does not affirm.
2. Neg proves indeterminate statements (like the resolution) cannot be affirmed

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Scarsdale MM

Elkins JS

Marcus Moretti

29.5

Joey Schwartz

28.

The better debating was done by the AFF from SCARSDALE MM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hockaday SV

Shivani Vohra

30

Salado TC

Tyler Cook

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from SALADO TC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ZAR was that morality need not prescribe HZAR
also wins conditional logic. ZAR extends ~~neg arguments~~
neg arguments in skepticism, saying that challenging
assumptions affirms.

Here comes the problem: theory isn't given any
offensive response until the ZAR. And I hate them
but to me the vote seems both conceded and
painfully clear. I also don't understand why the
ZAR gets new answers.

Room: LS117
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Olivarez, Quinn

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

New Trier Township CC

Plano TL

Charlie Cao

27.5

Tomas Lorinc

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from New Trier
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- pic proved via accountability
- Lar is hard to bring after responses to LAC
- style is unique
- aff wins condo debate

Room: N231
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Groner, Adam

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Walt Whitman EM

Austin SFA JM

Emily Massey

27

James Monaco

27.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Austin SFA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

Room: LS101
Flight A: Start 5:30
LD

4

Aguilar, Josh

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

100

POINTS

NEGATIVE

158

POINTS

Hebron JW

Cathedral DD

Jonathan Wallen

27

David Delgado

28

Handwritten signature

The better debating was done by the

Handwritten signature

from

Hebron JW

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed:

Handwritten signature

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The negative was that individual choice is key for (M) But
the aff was that the res always gets the
the aff saves more lives

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Seven Lakes Jk

Jacob koshak

27

Meadows JL

Jordan LaMothe

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Meadows JL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD - The neg extends the autonomy argument which is enough to get the Aff to drop framework

Aff concedes in 2AC

Room: N229
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

McGinnis, David

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cascia Hall DA

Monticello MT

Daniel Auffenberg

24.5

Matthew Thomas

26

The better debating was done by the Neg from Monticello
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The neg does a very effective job of showing that morality can't permit the commodification of lives.

Room: FA108
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

spirtos, mike

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Pace Academy MM

Michael Melia

28

Hattiesburg BK

Bretton Klinedinst

22

The better debating was done by the AFF from Pace MM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Maui Spirtos

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Vestavia Hills High KS

Kevin Sipe

28

Hockaday SR

Sarah Ridout

28

The better debating was done by the All from Vestavia KS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Daryl B

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

All: why are you touching your crotch so much
in your speeches it's pretty off putting.

RFD:

- ① All wins because life comes before empathy
- ② Rater proves that all @ rules link back to life over empathy..

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Glenbrook North PD

Northland Christian JR

Pat Donovan

28

Josh Roberts

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: ~~NEG~~ I default negate. Neg wins standards debate which ~~aff~~ claims to link turn. The link turn has no warrant explained until 2AR extension and the MR calls out lack of a warrant. Aff beats back negative advocacy so I am left with no offense.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Carrollton School of Ag

San Ramon Valley AS

Alina gomez

27.5

Amanda Swenson

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from San Ramon Valley AS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

IND - The default args are cool and all, BUT I think these can also be
offensive - ie b/c negative args are important - only the neg can access
moral permissibility.

RFD - The dl-case gives me a reason to default neg BUT even on
the case debate - the neg is winning negative rights should
not be violated, thus I negate.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College PT

Paul Tyger

29.5

Winston Churchill AL

Amanda Legrand

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake^{Jesuit} College PT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Jennifer Miller

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The ~~Aff~~ mitigates the NC and the ~~objections~~ objections that he's not defending certain terms off the NC. Aff wins burden and the bottom of the case saying both are morally permssbl.

Room: N203
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

Beck, Jesse

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

St. Vincent De Paul EP

Jenks DR

Ethan Pawson

26

Daniel Rose

26

The better debating was done by the Neg from Jenks DR
AFF of NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I feel as if the NC isn't exerting any effort or emotion towards the round and feels ~~ap~~ apathetic as to its outcome. For me, ~~that's~~ I mind a little but not enough to drop you b/c of it, other judges may feel differently. If its confidence, your argumentation ~~is~~ is fine ~~but~~ you did great w/ most technical things. Just feel more confident, passionate, or whatever b/c your fine.

RFD: I negate b/c the AC & sufficiently extend anything in the AP for me to vote on. Simply neutralizing the arguments isn't enough to meet your burden. I vote off ~~of~~ the coercive power of gov't

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Apple Valley CT

Chris Theis

30

Brophy College Prep MJ

Matt Johnson

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Apple Theis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

Room: LS120
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

Abell, Nathan

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles SC

Anderson BB

Sasha Chada

27.5

Bronte Bejarano


25

The better debating was done by the AFF from Dulles SC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RPD: I vote on #2 just Aff cards and the Van Hooft's org for case.


HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill DS

Marcus EK

Danielle Smogard

29.5

Evan Kirkham

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Love the case, excellent responses to Neg CX. (could make signposts)

Start slow + build up so good
- Signposts arguments better
- Read my Prediction

This round was somewhat messy b/c we all seemed to be planning things in different places. Ultimately I vote AFF b/c she provided the clearest R2N to Affirm. 1) Sh. for burden on Neg, No moral = No Prohibition.

Further I believe she met her burden of showing Resolutions true by establishing a clear framework for engaging in the moral calculus of (w/ her hypotheticals, story) + higher equal moral values

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Albuquerque Academy KC

Westlake DR

Kate Cygan

28

Devin Race

28.5

The better debating was done by the West from Westlake DR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both: The speed @ which both of you went at times was detrimental to your ability to sell me on many of these concepts that I am not super familiar with.

RFD: ^{overview} ② I think the job the ZAR does in attempting to delink from the Kritik was damn good. However, I was really unclear as to how you were doing this until the ZAR. The Aff points out this lack of clarity and answers it as best he can & I feel for him 4.

① I think the link to the Kritik is clear in the #1 & #3 link stories. They are murkier in the ZAR but I talked about that

② The Kritik is extended with its impacts which come prior to the AC burden structure (as articulated)

Room: FA109
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

Vohra, Taarini

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Crowley HL

Walt Whitman JK

Hailey Lopez

28

Jane Kessner

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Walt Whitman JK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Bronx Science SS

Strake Jesuit College PB

Saboar Sheerazi

28.5

Philip Bartholomew

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Bronx Science SS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I agree with Saboar that the definition of MP as allowable takes out the neg advocacy. Phillip's 4th paragraph says aff has right to define to my fault he did not. But he does & it takes out the advocacy. The other issue that ~~was~~ I consider is the #4 turn on Lichtenberg. I don't think she is making a categorical statement. In fact, the card says it appears that some thus I accept the Affirmation or the neg absent a reason to negate.

Room: FA111
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

Flores, Richard

Perry Beard

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

St. Vincent De Paul BB

Brian Bordley

26

Northland Christian TC

Thomas Carmichael

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Northland Christian TC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

Room: LS117
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

Olivarez, Quinn

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Southlake Carroll CC

Cathy Creighton

27

St. John's MJ

Matt Joyce

27

The better debating was done by the Wes from St. Johns
AFF. or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

extensions off NC
terminate AK

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Anderson MV

West Des Moines Valle YZ

Madeline Vuong

29

Yale Zhu

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Anderson MV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff - I like the battlescar

Neg - good recognition of homogeneity interest but strategy was a little off. Spent way too much time in re on til turns when she was likely not going to go for it. You're making smart arguments but issue selection is an issue

Neg - Although I appreciate your returning theory, this was a time when I think it would've been warranted.

ORAL

Room: LS118
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

Johnson, Gary

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Mayde Creek DA

Danny Alexander

26

Greenhill TT

Tanya Thanawalla

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill TT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Gary Johnson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF mishandles PIC.
Neg wins it's preferable to call
morally impermissible or else
lay people will think it's good to kill
if we call it permissible

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Plano East SS

Marcus CC

Shilpa Sethuraman

28

Carson Chalmers

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Marcus CC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Communitarianism is not a balanced or bifurcated standard.

You need to tell me why more = better. More doesn't automatically mean life in the community will be better.

You say both obligated and not in same case.

2 contentions sound the same, just different people saying it. I may be wrong, but same basic argument, just with different impacts & quote.

Give me moral rules to prove & violate. Sec says killing bad, then killing innocent becomes moral rule which then means you would lose (not making this argument for her, I'm just saying)

You keep saying save more lives but you never prove that that's the ultimate good. Saving these lives may require collapse of system of values or society and that's bad.

Your whole case is predicated on idea that we kill in order to preserve society, but that's contradictory if society is against killing its individuals.

Liked the way you went with why survival is not a trump. Be more clear on resolution, we wording more within case. Say killing one is justification for... you say it, I'm just saying don't get away from it in rebuttal position.

I do think she was more revolutionary than you give her credit for, but not by much, good catch. You dealt with it well either way.

Quantity vs quality is huge, love it, it's my favorite! Good job finding contradictions (good in theory, save some but others makes me immoral)

Don't get bitchy!

You have more time, go do the time by time on her Aff, she was revolutionary even if criticism fell and therefore is wrong.

Emphasize quality \neq quantity as last point before sitting.

Communitarianism \neq standard so Human Worth is evaluator.

Aff uses social survival to justify killing which denies worth by allowing for atrocities

Aff case predicated on individual has no worth (only society)

Neg win

Room: FA107
Flight B: Start 6:15
LD

4

Werner, Andy

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please hand your ballot to a runner after each flight
or text/call results to: 817-320-5888 (Steffany Batik)

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Austin SFA JT

Awty International PH

James Tobey

27

Phillips Hogan

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Austin SFA JT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Q sel